



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/746,917	12/22/2000	Antonietta Grasso	D/A0034	3973
7590 05/10/2004 John E. Beck			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CINDY	
Xerox Corpora	tion, Xerox Square - 2			
Rochester, NY	14644		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2171	10
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner			1				
Examiner   Cindy   Nguyen   2171		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Cindy Nguyen  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherwork or the reply se swalline under the provisions of JCFR 1.136(a). In or evert, however, may a reply be timely filed  Eatherwork or the reply se swalline under the provisional of JCFR 1.136(a). In or evert, however, may a reply be timely filed  Eatherwork or the reply se specified above is less than thirty (30) (asys, as reply with the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) (asys, as reply the timely filed. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) (asys, as reply the timely filed, may reduce any seamed patentine adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76(b).  Status  **This action is FINAL.**  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  **Disposition of Claims**  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.29 Is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	Office Action Summany						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eaderson's often may be waited under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no avert, however, may a reply be timely field  Eaderson's often may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(b). In no avert, however, may a reply be timely field  Eaderson's often may be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(b). In no avert, however, may a reply be timely field  1 the period for reply septical above is less than birty (50) days, a reply which the statutory priced with the period for reply within the statutory period will be placed for the province of the period for reply will, by attention the statutory period will be placed for the communication.  1 His period for reply septical above, he maximum statutory period will be placed with communication.  2 Part of the period for reply septical above, he maximum statutory period will be placed with communication.  3 Part of the period for reply septical store, and the province of the communication.  4 Part of the period place the state of the communication.  3 Part of the septication is FINAL.  2 Part of the period placed the state of the communication.  3 Part of the septication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 Part of the septication is objected to septication.  4 Part of the period of the province of the province of the province of the province objected to.  5 Part of the period of the province objected to septication.  5 Part of the period of the province objected to septication requirement.  Application Papers  9 Part of period placed the period of the province objected to be the drawing(s) be held in absyrance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(	Onice Action Summary						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of tension are provided as the size than the right of the provided and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failuble time symmetry will mis set of underdal pears of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any available time adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 April 2004.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. □ 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are a withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1-9.11,13.15-24.26 and 28 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1-9.11,13.15-24.26 and 29 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 1-9.11,13.15-24.26 and 29 is/are objected to.  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  10 □ The cath or decla	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eatherwise of time may be waited under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  It NO period to make 1 to 10 to		pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 28 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 28 is/are rejected to .  8)  Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 28 is/are objected to .  8)  Claim(s) 1-12.14.25. 27 and 29 is/are objected to .  8)  Claim(s) 10.12.14.25. 27 and 29 is/are objected to .  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. Sea 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * ○) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  2.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is mad	<ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleter of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	I36(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 28 is/are rejected. 7) ○ Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 28 is/are rejected. 8) ○ Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 28 is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 29 is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 29 is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 29 is/are objected to Examiner.  Application Papers  9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) ○ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ○ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ○ All b) ○ Some * ○ ○ None of:  1 ○ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  2 ○ Described Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3 ○ Copies of the certified copies of the priority undernate have been received.  13) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  14) ○ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	pril 2004.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
4)  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 28 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 10.12.14.25.27 and 29 is/are rejected to.  8)  Claim(s) 10.12.14.25.27 and 29 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  14)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  3)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 22 April 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All   b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Altachment(s)  11) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  11) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)  21 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-882)  31 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s) 1) An Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 2) Noti	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
Claim(s) 1-9.11.13.15-24.26 and 28 is/are rejected.   7)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7)  Claim(s) 10.12.14.25. 27 and 29 is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of: 1.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,13,15-24,26 and 28</u> is/are reje	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11,13,15-24,26 and 28</u> is/are rejected.					
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ .  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) <u>10,12,14,25, 27 and 29</u> is/are objected	ed to.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on O2 April 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
10)  The drawing(s) filed on 02 April 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Application Papers						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Anotice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 April 2001</u> is/are: a	)□ accepted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examiner.				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	ts have been received. ts have been received in A rity documents have been	Application No				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro-	of the certified copies not ic priority under 35 U.S.C. st sentence of the specific ovisional application has be	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) eation or in an Application Data Sheet.				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	reference was included in the first sentence of the	ne specification or in an Ap	oplication Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	\ <u></u>	_					
	2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) D Notice of I					

Application/Control Number: 09/746,917 Page 2

Art Unit: 2171

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is in response to amendments filed 04/05/04.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/05/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues: "the method gathers recommendations without the active participation of users, by deducing implicit recommendations from a work group's use of a shared recording device, such as a printer, a copier, a scanner or a set of printers, copiers or scanners, or some combination thereof" on page 12, these limitations are not in the claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

# 1. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2171

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9, 13, 16, 1824, 26 and 28 are stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz et al. (U.S 5754939) (Herz) in view of Chan et al. (U.S 6378070) (Chan).

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Herz discloses: A system and method for providing item recommendations, comprising: a memory (col. 56, lines 30-49, Herz); a processor, for storing ratings of items and for generating recommendations for new items based on recommendation criteria (col. 47, lines 1-5, Herz); wherein, responsive to the user request, the processor stores an implicit rating for the requested item in the memory (col. 50, lines 15-41, Herz), determines whether, based on the implicit rating and the recommendation criteria, to generate an item recommendation, and if the criteria for generating a recommendation is met, generates a recommendation of a new item (col. 55, lines 43 to col. 56, lines 12, Herz).

However, Herz didn't disclose: a device, responsive to a user request, for recording an item on a hardcopy medium. On the other hand, Chan discloses: a device, responsive to a user request, for recording an item on a hardcopy medium (col. 6, lines 14-28, Chan). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a device, responsive to a user request, for recording an item on a hardcopy medium in the system of Herz as taught by Chan. The motivation being to enable the system provides and generates a request for a document, the request including the identity of the user,

Art Unit: 2171

transmitting the request to the print server and receiving a document from the print server for printing the document for the user (col. 2, lines 54-67, Chan).

Regarding claims 2 and 19, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 16 above, respectively. In addition, Herz/Chan discloses: wherein the processor further stores a representation of the recorded item in the memory (col. 56, lines 60 to col. 57, lines 5, Herz).

Regarding claims 3 and 20, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 2 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Herz/Chan discloses: wherein the representation is selected from the group consisting of a representation of the entire recorded item, a thumbnail image of the recorded item, a set of item attributes and a characterization of the recorded item's content (col. 65, lines 4-32, Herz).

Regarding claims 4 and 18, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 16 above, respectively. In addition, Herz/Chan discloses: wherein the memory stores user profiles for users of the system (col. 57, lines 18-20, Herz), wherein each user profile includes a set of user preferences pertaining to items and wherein the processor, responsive to the user request, updates the user's profile with the implicit rating (col. 57, lines 20-36, Herz), updates the user's profile with the implicit rating (col. 56, lines 4-12, Herz).

Regarding claims 5 and 21, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 4 and 18 above, respectively. In addition, Herz/Chan discloses: wherein the processor further stores a representation of the recorded item in memory and determines an item similarity for the recorded item (col. 57, lines 51 to col. 58, lines 10, Herz).

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 6 and 22, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 5 and 21 above, respectively. In addition, Herz/Chan discloses: wherein the item similarity comprises an item to item similarity is determined by comparing the stored representation of the recorded item with the stored representations of other recorded items stored in the memory (col. 69, lines 45 and after, Herz).

Regarding claims 7 and 23, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 5 and 21 above, respectively. In addition, Herz/Chan discloses: wherein the item similarity comprises an item to user similarity which is determined by comparing the stored representations of the user's recorded items with the stored representations of other recorded items stored in the memory (col. 55, lines 43-65 and after, Herz).

Regarding claims 8 and 24, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 4 and 18 above, respectively. In addition, Herz/Chan discloses: wherein the processor determines a user to user similarity for the user by comparing the user's profile with the other user profiles stored in the memory (col. 55, lines 65 to col. 56, lines 13, Herz).

Regarding claims 9 and 26, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 4 and 18 above, respectively. In addition, Herz/Chan discloses: wherein the processor updates the user's profile by determining how often the user records items having a similar item similarity and further stores the updated user profile in the memory (col. 56, lines 4-12, Herz).

Regarding claims 13 and 28, all the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 4 and 18 above, respectively. In addition, Herz/Chan discloses: wherein the processor determines an action based user similarity by correlating the number of user implicit

Art Unit: 2171

ratings in the user's profile to the total number of recorded item implicit ratings stored in the memory (col. 50, lines 15-41, Herz).

3. Claim 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz et al. (U.S 5754939) (Herz) in view of Chan et al. (U.S 6378070) (Chan) and further in view of Johnson et al. (U.S 5664109) (Johnson).

Regarding claim 11, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 4 above. However, Herz/Chan didn't disclose: wherein the processor, responsive to the user's request, stores a record of a user ID, a record of an item ID and a time stamp. On the other hand, Johnson discloses: wherein the processor, responsive to the user's request, stores a record of a user ID, a record of an item ID and a time stamp (col. 13, lines 17-27, Johnson). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the processor, responsive to the user's request, stores a record of a user ID, a record of an item ID and a time stamp in the combination system of Herz/Chan as taught by Johnson. The motivation being to enable the system records documents that includes fields for a master document identifier, receipt date/time and a unique file identifier, data values for these fields are assigned to the document by the server network (col. 13, lines 17-27, Johnson).

4. Claims 15 and 17 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herz et al. (U.S 5754939) (Herz) in view of Chan et al. (U.S 6378070) (Chan) and further in view of Boorom et al. (U.S 6578167) (Boorom).

Regarding claims 15, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 above. However, Herz/Chan didn't disclose: wherein the device is selected from the

Art Unit: 2171

group consisting of a printer, a copier, a scanner and a multi-function device for printing, scanning and copying. On the other hand, Boorom discloses: wherein the device is selected from the group consisting of a printer, a copier, a scanner and a multi-function device for printing, scanning and copying. (Col.4, lines 66 to col. 5, lines 12, Boorom). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include the recording is selected from the functions of printing, scanning and copying in the combination system of Herz/Chan as taught by Boorom. The motivation being to enable the system provides the digital devices for controlling the documents printing, scanning and copying col.4, lines 66 to col. 5, lines 12, Boorom).

Regarding claim 17, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 16 above. In addition, Herz/Chan/ Boorom discloses: wherein the recording is selected from the functions of printing, scanning and copying (col. 4, lines 66 to col. 5, lines 12, Boorom).

### 5. Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 10, 12, 25 and 27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record and that encountered while searching for the claimed invention fails to anticipate and/or suggest: a system and method for providing item recommendations, comprising: processor characterizes content of the recorded item using linguistic tools and wherein the processor determines the item to item similarity between two recorded items by

Art Unit: 2171

calculating a sum of weights of keywords in common divided by a sum of weights of all

keywords associated with the two recorded items as recited in claims 10 and 25.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record and that encountered while searching for the claimed invention fails to anticipate and/or suggest: a system and method for providing item recommendations, comprising: the processor characterizes content of the recorded item using linguistic tools and wherein the processor generates a historical linguistic user profile for each user comprising a list of terms extracted from user recorded items and frequency of occurrence of such extracted terms and wherein the processor generates a current linguistic user profile for each user comprising a list of terms extracted from user recorded items with terms being weighted by a damping coefficient, e-.alpha.t, where t=today--timestamp of association of the recorded item with the

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record and that encountered while searching for the claimed invention fails to anticipate and/or suggest: a system and method for providing item recommendations, comprising: the processor characterizes content of the recorded item using linguistic tools, wherein the processor generates a linguistic user profile for each user comprising a list of terms extracted from user recorded items and frequency of occurrence of such extracted terms, and wherein the processor determines an overlap between a user's linguistic profile and a recorded item's linguistic content characterization as recited in claims 14 and 29.

user and .alpha. is a damping coefficient as recited in claims 12 and 27.

### 6. Conclusion

Art Unit: 2171

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Bobrow et al. (U.S 6562077). Sorting image segments into clusters based on a distance

measurement.

Adler et al. (U.S 6651218). Dynamic content database for multiple document genres.

Adler et al. (U.S 6675356). Distributed document-based calendaring system.

Pedersen et al. (U.S 5483650). Method of constant interaction time clustering applied to

document browsing.

7. Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Cindy Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4698. The examiner can

normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet

Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306

for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cindy Nguyen

May 4, 2004

WAYNE AMSBURY
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 9